WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

& The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

Case No -OA 1251 OF 2011

Bijoy Kumar Barua <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
11	For the Applicant : Mr. D. Dutta, Learned Advocate.	
04.10.2018	Learned Advocate.	
	For the State Respondents : Mr. S.N. Ray, Learned Advocate	
	The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents	
	for refund of Rs.63,158/- which was deducted from the retiring	
	Gratuity of the applicant on cancellation of letter dated March 21,	
	2011 issued by the Superintendent of Police, Jalpaiguri	
	(Annexure C to the original application).	
	The contention of the applicant is that he retired from	
	service as Constable of West Bengal Police on June 30, 2010	
	from the establishment of the Respondent No. 4, Superintendent	
	of Police, Jalpaiguri. The grievance of the applicant is that a	
	sum of Rs.63158/- was deducted from his retiring Gratuity on	
	the ground of excess payment of salary due to wrong fixation of	
	pay. The original application was disposed of by this Tribunal,	
	which was challenged by the State respondents before the	
	Hon'ble High Court by filing WPST 369 of 2012. On December	
	5, 2012, the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court disposed	
	of WPST 369 of 2012 by setting aside the order passed by this	
	Tribunal and by remanding the matter to the Tribunal for fresh	
	decision after taking into consideration the provisions of Rule	
	140 of West Bengal Services (Death-cum-Retirement Benefit)	

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order. 1	2	of parties when necessary 3
	Rules, 1971 (in short, "DCRB Rules, 1971").	
	With the above factual matrix, we have to decide whether the	
	applicant is entitled to refund of Rs.63, 158/- which was	
	deducted from retiring gratuity and leave salary of the applicant	
	on the ground of excess payment due to wrong fixation of pay.	
	Having heard Learned Counsel representing both parties	
	and on consideration of the order passed by the Division Bench	
	of the Hon'ble High Court in WPST 369 of 2012, we find that the	
	applicant did not press for refund of Rs.13,571/- which was	
	deducted from leave encashment of the applicant. Accordingly,	
	the question for consideration is whether the applicant is entitled	
	to refund of Rs.47587/- which was deducted from retiring gratuity	
	on the ground of excess payment due to wrong fixation of pay.	
	It appears from the order under challenge in the original	
	application that excess payment of Rs.47,587/- was made due to	
	wrong fixation of pay and the same was detected after	
	retirement of the applicant from service. The Hon'ble Supreme	
	Court has summarised the following situations in paragraph 18	
	of the judgment of "State of Punjab v Rafiq Masih" reported in	
	(2015) 4 SCC 334, when recovery of excess payment by the	
	state respondents would not be permissible in law:	
	" (i) Recovery from the employees belonging to Class III	
	and Class IV service (or Group C and Group D service);	

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
		3
	(ii) Recovery from the retired employees, or the	
	employees who are due to retire within one year of the	
	order of recovery;	
	(iii) Recovery from the employees, when the excess	
	payment has been made for a period in excess of 5 years,	
	before the order of recovery is issued;	
	(iv) Recovery in cases where an employee has wrongfully	
	been required to discharge duties of a higher post, and	
	has been paid accordingly, even though he should have	
	rightfully been required to work against an inferior post;	
	(v) In any other case, where the Court arrives at the	
	conclusion that recovery if made from the employee,	
	would be iniquitous or harsh or arbitrary to such an	
	extent as would far outweigh the equitable balance of the	
	employer's right to recover."	
	We have laid down in the case of "Bireswar Dey v State	
	of West Bengal & Ors." (OA-1045 of 2014 decided on August 20,	
	2018), that state respondents cannot invoke the provisions of	
	Rule 140(2) of the West Bengal Services (Death-cum-	
	Retirement Benefit) Rules, 1971 for recovery of excess payment	
	of pay and allowances from the retiring Gratuity of the	

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	Government employee, particularly when the recovery of over	
	payment from retiring gratuity is done after prolonged period	
	from the date on which the said recovery would have been	
	effected and thereby causing hardship to the applicant to such	
	an extent, which will outweigh equitable balance of the right of	
	the Government to recover the same.	
	By following the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in	
	the case of "State of Punjab v Rafiq Masih" (Supra) and the	
	decision of "Bireswar Dey v State of West Bengal & Ors."	
	(Supra), we are of the view that the state respondents are bound	
	to refund an amount of Rs.47587/- to the applicant .	
	In view of our above findings, the respondent no. 4, the	
	Superintendent of Police, Jalpaiguri is directed to refund	
	Rs.47587/- to the applicant within a period of 12 (twelve) weeks	
	from the date of communication of the order.	
	With the above direction the original application stands	
	With the above direction, the original application stands	
	disposed of.	
	S. K. DAS R. K. BAG	
	MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	
Rajib		

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
Rajib		

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3

Bijoy Kumar Barua V. State of W.B. & Others

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3